Shrinking Factor Dimension: A Reduced-Rank Approach

Online Appendix

A Additional Tables

Table IA.1 Performance of RRA factors: An alternative estimation

This table reports the RMS alphas and total $adj-R^2s$ of RRA *K*-factor models in explaining four sets of test assets, where the weighting matrix W_2 in (9) is estimated with the principal orthogonal complement thresholding method of Fan, Liao and Mincheva (2013). The basis assets are 202 characteristic portfolios. The sample period is 1974:01–2016:12.

	RMS alpha (%)				Total adj- R^2 (%)			
<i>K</i> =	1	3	5	6	1	3	5	6
202 characteristic portfolios 48 industry portfolios All stocks All-but-micro stocks	0.23 0.29 2.51 1.74	0.20 0.27 2.59 1.80	0.19 0.26 2.64 1.78	0.18 0.32 2.91 1.85	54.63 75.86 9.53 21.19	61.38 81.01 12.82 27.38	64.83 83.86 14.21 29.74	65.54 85.59 15.05 30.53

Table IA.2Out-of-sample performances of factor models: PLS and RRA using 202characteristic portfolios as basis assets

This table reports the out-of-sample root-mean-squared alphas and total $adj-R^2s$ of different factor models for explaining four sets of test assets. We use the first 30-year data to estimate the weights of the PCA, PLS, and RRA factors and the rest 13-year data for out-of-sample evaluation. For each individual asset, we employ a 60-month rolling window approach, requiring at least 24 observations, to calculate the out-of-sample root-mean-squared alphas and total $adj-R^2s$. As such, the out-of-sample evaluation period is 2006:01–2016:12.

	Roo	t-mean-squ	ared alpha ((%)		Total ad	j- <i>R</i> ² (%)	
K =	1	3	5	6	1	3	5	6
Panel A	: 48 industry	y portfolios						
FF	0.46	0.53	0.57	0.48	53.66	53.72	51.20	51.82
PCA	0.98	0.97	0.85	0.86	30.26	31.73	34.50	32.24
PLS	0.93	0.51	0.43	0.39	33.04	44.11	54.68	54.89
RRA	0.46	0.46	0.34	0.36	54.15	54.16	53.98	56.81
Panel B	: 202 charac	teristic port	folios					
FF	0.29	0.30	0.24	0.22	78.22	85.04	84.73	86.27
PCA	1.05	1.02	0.84	0.86	49.71	49.88	52.50	50.06
PLS	0.98	0.43	0.24	0.23	53.10	66.40	80.50	80.65
RRA	0.29	0.28	0.23	0.23	82.79	85.27	86.85	87.23
Panel C:	: All stocks							
FF	2.86	2.97	3.07	3.14	6.46	-0.41	-9.02	-16.39
PCA	2.94	2.96	2.95	2.99	4.66	-1.74	-9.83	-15.29
PLS	2.91	2.92	2.95	2.98	5.12	-0.53	-7.48	-12.54
RRA	2.84	2.90	3.03	3.11	8.14	0.75	-9.39	-14.77
Panel D	: All-but-mi	cro stocks						
FF	2.01	2.03	2.09	2.05	20.01	15.94	8.20	2.05
PCA	2.15	2.14	2.10	2.18	11.92	7.71	2.27	-2.94
PLS	2.11	1.98	2.05	2.02	13.14	12.57	9.79	5.77
RRA	1.98	1.98	1.97	2.01	22.28	16.48	8.54	5.63

Table IA.3In-sample performance of RRA factors estimated with a pricing errorrestriction and using 202 characteristic portfolios as basis assets

This table reports the root-mean-squared alphas and total $adj-R^2s$ of RRA factors in explaining four sets of test assets. The RRA factors are extracted by using the reduced-rank approach and are assumed to have a pricing error restriction as $\alpha_i = \eta \sigma_i$, where σ_i is asset *i*'s volatility. When extracting the RRA factors, the 202 characteristic portfolios are used as basis assets to estimate the weights of factor proxies. The sample period is 1974:01–2016:12.

	Roc	ot-mean-squ	ared alpha (%)	Total adj- R^2 (%)				
K =	1	3	5	6	1	3	5	6	
Panel A: 48 ind	ustry portfo	olios							
$\eta = 0$	0.26	0.32	0.28	0.25	51.73	58.02	60.19	62.23	
$\eta = 0.5/12$	0.26	0.28	0.27	0.24	51.58	57.96	60.13	62.13	
$\eta = 1/12$	0.35	0.31	0.33	0.31	51.11	57.56	59.85	61.76	
$\eta = 1.5/12$	0.46	0.41	0.42	0.42	50.47	56.82	59.26	61.07	
Panel B: 202 ch	naracteristic	portfolios							
$\overline{\eta = 0}$	0.30	0.22	0.15	0.15	79.80	86.91	88.95	89.43	
$\eta = 0.5/12$	0.32	0.25	0.21	0.20	79.65	86.73	88.75	89.24	
$\eta = 1/12$	0.42	0.36	0.33	0.32	79.01	86.06	88.07	88.57	
$\eta = 1.5/12$	0.54	0.49	0.47	0.46	77.89	84.90	86.89	87.40	
Panel C: All sto	ocks								
$\eta = 0$	2.47	2.79	3.06	3.04	11.40	14.40	15.90	16.27	
$\eta = 0.5/12$	2.44	2.80	3.08	3.06	11.46	14.41	15.90	16.26	
$\eta = 1/12$	2.45	2.81	3.10	3.09	11.46	14.35	15.84	16.19	
$\eta = 1.5/12$	2.48	2.84	3.14	3.13	11.34	14.24	15.72	16.08	
Panel D: All-bu	t-micro sto	cks							
$\eta = 0$	1.75	1.94	1.96	1.99	23.47	27.96	29.82	30.58	
$\eta = 0.5/12$	1.71	1.94	1.97	2.00	23.45	27.89	29.74	30.49	
$\eta = 1/12$	1.70	1.96	2.00	2.02	23.30	27.67	29.56	30.30	
$\eta = 1.5/12$	1.73	2.00	2.04	2.06	22.95	27.33	29.26	29.99	

Table IA.4Out-of-sample performance of RRA factors estimated with a pricing errorrestriction and using 48 industry portfolios as basis assets

This table reports the out-of-sample root-mean-squared alphas and total $adj-R^2s$ of RRA factors in explaining four sets of test assets. The RRA factors are extracted by using the reduced-rank approach and are assumed to have pricing error as $\alpha_i = \eta \sigma_i$, where σ_i is asset *i*'s volatility. When extracting the RRA factors, the 48 industry portfolios are used as basis assets to estimate the weights of factor proxies. We use the first 30-year data to estimate the weights of the RRA factors and the rest 13-year data for out-of-sample evaluation. For each individual asset, we employ a 60-month rolling window approach, requiring at least 24 observations, to calculate the out-of-sample root-mean-squared alphas and total $adj-R^2s$. As such, the out-of-sample evaluation period is 2006:01–2016:12.

	Roc	ot-mean-squ	ared alpha (%)		Total adj- R^2 (%)			
K =	1	3	5	6	1	3	5	6	
Panel A: 48 ind	ustry portfo	olios							
$\eta = 0$	0.47	0.35	0.31	0.34	55.24	55.56	57.13	55.70	
$\eta = 0.5/12$	0.46	0.36	0.31	0.35	54.93	55.38	56.86	55.73	
$\eta = 1/12$	0.46	0.37	0.32	0.38	52.96	53.51	55.09	54.58	
$\eta = 1.5/12$	0.46	0.38	0.34	0.44	49.52	50.12	52.07	52.42	
Panel B: 202 ch	aracteristic	portfolios							
$\overline{\eta = 0}$	0.29	0.24	0.23	0.26	80.54	80.81	82.93	83.83	
$\eta = 0.5/12$	0.29	0.24	0.23	0.31	79.41	79.46	80.89	83.01	
$\eta = 1/12$	0.29	0.25	0.25	0.38	75.95	75.93	76.84	80.58	
$\eta = 1.5/12$	0.30	0.27	0.28	0.47	70.55	70.65	71.26	76.90	
Panel C: All sto	ocks								
$\eta = 0$	2.83	2.85	2.90	2.96	7.32	1.24	-7.84	-13.08	
$\eta = 0.5/12$	2.83	2.85	2.88	2.96	7.27	1.21	-7.94	-13.08	
$\eta = 1/12$	2.82	2.85	2.87	2.96	6.90	0.82	-8.36	-13.33	
$\eta = 1.5/12$	2.82	2.85	2.87	2.96	6.21	0.10	-9.03	-13.78	
Panel D: All-bu	t-micro sto	cks							
$\eta = 0$	2.00	1.97	2.01	2.04	21.43	17.12	10.86	6.85	
$\eta = 0.5/12$	1.99	1.96	2.00	2.03	21.16	16.78	10.48	6.78	
$\eta = 1/12$	1.98	1.95	2.00	2.03	20.17	15.68	9.44	6.17	
$\eta = 1.5/12$	1.98	1.95	2.00	2.04	18.50	13.92	7.87	5.10	

Table IA.5Out-of-sample performance of RRA factors estimated with a pricing errorrestriction and using 202 characteristic portfolios as basis assets

This table reports the out-of-sample root-mean-squared alphas and total $adj-R^2s$ of RRA factors in explaining four sets of test assets. The RRA factors are extracted by using the reduced-rank approach and are assume to have a pricing error restriction as $\alpha_i = \eta \sigma_i$, where σ_i is asset *i*'s volatility. When extracting the RRA factors, the 202 characteristic portfolios are used as basis assets to estimate the weights of factor proxies. We use the first 30-year data to estimate the weights of the RRA factors and the rest 13-year data for out-of-sample evaluation. For each individual asset, we employ a 60-month rolling window approach, requiring at least 24 observations, to calculate the out-of-sample root-mean-squared alphas and total adj- R^2s . As such, the out-of-sample evaluation period is 2006:01–2016:12.

	Roc	ot-mean-squ	ared alpha (%)		Total adj- R^2 (%)			
K =	1	3	5	6	1	3	5	6	
Panel A: 48 ind	ustry portfo	olios							
$\eta = 0$	0.46	0.46	0.38	0.36	54.29	53.77	52.69	56.02	
$\eta = 0.5/12$	0.46	0.46	0.38	0.36	54.39	53.65	52.63	55.44	
$\eta = 1/12$	0.46	0.45	0.37	0.36	53.26	52.37	51.44	54.14	
$\eta = 1.5/12$	0.46	0.45	0.37	0.36	51.08	50.04	49.19	52.08	
Panel B: 202 ch	aracteristic	portfolios							
$\overline{\eta = 0}$	0.29	0.27	0.23	0.23	82.70	85.21	86.51	87.00	
$\eta = 0.5/12$	0.29	0.27	0.23	0.23	82.29	84.49	85.89	86.42	
$\eta = 1/12$	0.29	0.27	0.23	0.23	80.02	82.18	83.58	84.74	
$\eta = 1.5/12$	0.30	0.28	0.23	0.23	76.20	78.45	79.63	81.94	
Panel C: All sto	ocks								
$\eta = 0$	2.84	2.90	3.02	3.09	8.05	0.75	-10.32	-15.09	
$\eta = 0.5/12$	2.84	2.90	3.01	3.08	8.08	0.80	-10.26	-15.12	
$\eta = 1/12$	2.83	2.90	3.00	3.07	7.83	0.62	-10.39	-15.29	
$\eta = 1.5/12$	2.83	2.90	3.00	3.07	7.31	0.24	-10.73	-15.62	
Panel D: All-bu	t-micro sto	cks							
$\eta=0$	1.99	1.99	1.99	2.03	22.22	16.38	7.06	4.97	
$\eta = 0.5/12$	1.98	1.98	1.99	2.03	22.15	16.32	7.02	4.76	
$\eta = 1/12$	1.98	1.98	1.99	2.02	21.49	15.75	6.52	4.22	
$\eta = 1.5/12$	1.98	1.98	1.99	2.03	20.32	14.74	5.57	3.34	

Table IA.6In-sample performance of factor models estimated by pre-specifying FF fivefactors and using 202 characteristic portfolios as basis assets

This table reports the root-mean-squared alphas and total $adj-R^2s$ of different factor models in explaining four sets of test assets. FF5 refers to the FF five-factor model, and FF5+PCA, FF5+PLS, and FF5+RRA refer to *K*-factor models that include FF5 and (K - 5) PCA, PLS, and RRA factors, respectively. PCA factors are extracted from the factor proxies excluding the FF five factors; PLS and RRA refer to factors estimated with the 202 characteristic portfolios as basis assets. The sample period is 1974:01–2016:12.

	F	Root-mean	-squared	alpha (%))		Tot	al adj- <i>R</i> ²	(%)	
K =	5	6	7	8	10	5	6	7	8	10
Panel A: 48	industry p	ortfolios								
FF5	0.34	_	_	_	_	57.57	_	_	_	_
FF5+PCA	_	0.31	0.31	0.31	0.28	_	58.80	59.23	61.00	61.85
FF5+PLS	_	0.30	0.28	0.27	0.23	_	59.05	61.51	61.76	62.73
FF5+RRA	_	0.29	0.30	0.29	0.27	_	58.75	61.44	62.44	63.85
Panel B: 202	character	ristic portf	folios							
FF5	0.19	_	_	_	_	86.94	_	_	_	_
FF5+PCA	_	0.15	0.15	0.15	0.14	_	87.52	87.65	87.99	88.55
FF5+PLS	_	0.15	0.14	0.15	0.15	_	87.86	88.48	88.74	89.23
FF5+RRA	_	0.15	0.15	0.15	0.15	_	88.42	89.05	89.64	90.17
Panel C: All	stocks									
FF5	3.08	_	_	_	_	14.70	_	_	_	_
FF5+PCA	_	3.22	3.28	3.43	3.61	_	15.26	15.42	15.79	16.24
FF5+PLS	_	3.28	3.33	3.45	3.55	_	15.52	15.99	16.22	16.55
FF5+RRA	_	3.15	3.25	3.19	3.43	_	15.55	15.98	16.46	17.09
Panel D: All	-but-micro	o stocks								
FF5	2.06	_	_	_	_	28.39	_	_	_	_
FF5+PCA	_	2.13	2.16	2.20	2.27	_	29.10	29.42	30.28	31.01
FF5+PLS	_	2.14	2.15	2.18	2.27	_	29.37	30.40	30.78	31.42
FF5+RRA	_	2.10	2.16	2.18	2.26	_	29.43	30.60	31.04	32.20

Table IA.7 Performance of alternative PCA factors

This table reports the root-mean-squared alphas and total $adj-R^2s$ of alternative PCA factors in explaining four sets of test assets, where the factors are directly extracted from 202 characteristic portfolios. BS-PCA and RP-PCA refer to the methods used in Balvers and Stivers (2018) and Lettau and Pelger (2020), respectively. The sample period is 1974:01–2016:12.

	Root	t-mean-squ	ared alpha	(%)		Total adj- R^2 (%)			
K =	1	3	5	6	1	3	5	6	
Panel A: 48	industry po	ortfolios							
PCA	0.25	0.31	0.27	0.27	52.47	58.46	62.94	65.26	
BS-PCA	0.28	0.37	0.30	0.30	52.82	57.82	62.62	65.12	
RP-PCA	0.25	0.36	0.29	0.29	52.57	58.10	62.74	65.18	
Panel B: 20	2 character	istic portfo	lios						
PCA	0.30	0.22	0.15	0.15	80.21	87.63	90.06	90.89	
BS-PCA	0.31	0.18	0.16	0.16	79.97	87.37	90.03	90.86	
RP-PCA	0.30	0.18	0.15	0.15	80.20	87.49	90.05	90.88	
Panel C: Al	l stocks								
PCA	2.46	2.78	2.90	2.95	11.44	14.87	16.67	17.32	
BS-PCA	2.49	3.03	3.12	3.18	11.11	14.86	16.68	17.33	
RP-PCA	2.47	2.92	3.03	3.09	11.38	14.87	16.68	17.34	
Panel D: Al	l-but-micro	stocks							
PCA	1.74	1.95	1.99	2.00	23.58	28.29	30.26	31.16	
BS-PCA	1.80	2.07	2.11	2.13	23.25	27.61	30.18	31.11	
RP-PCA	1.75	2.02	2.06	2.08	23.53	27.86	30.21	31.13	

Table IA.8 Performance of LASSO-based factors: robustness

This table reports the root-mean-squared alphas and total $adj-R^2s$ of LASSO-based factor models in explaining four sets of test assets. We follow Feng, Giglio and Xiu (2020) and select factors from 70 factor proxies that best explain the average returns of the 202 characteristic portfolios. CV refers to choosing factors with cross-validation. The sample period is 1974:01–2016:12.

	Root-n	nean-squ	ared alph	na (%)	Total adj- R^2 (%)			
K =	3	5	6	CV	3	5	6	CV
48 industry portfolios	0.25	0.32	0.26	0.26	55.63	57.35	58.08	53.43
202 characteristic portfolios	0.23	0.15	0.14	0.30	84.83	86.77	87.02	83.62
All stocks All-but-micro stocks	2.72 1.91	3.20 2.12	3.25 2.14	2.50 1.73	13.52 26.59	14.97 28.06	15.20 28.61	12.56 24.70

B Definitions of 62 Anomalies as Factor Proxies

- **Dvp** Dividend to price ratio (Litzenberger and Ramaswamy, 1979): Annual total dividends payouts divided by the market value at the end of June
- **Top** Total payouts (Boudoukh, Michaely, Richardson, and Roberts, 2007): Dividends (dvc) on common stock plus repurchases
- **Nop** Net payout yields (Boudoukh, Michaely, Richardson, and Roberts, 2007): Total payouts minus equity issuances
- Ssgrow Sustainable growth (Lockwood and Prombutr, 2010): Annual growth in book value of equity
 - **Ebp** Enterprise component of book to price (Penman, Richardson, and Tuna, 2007): Book value of net operating asset (net debt plus book equity) to the net operating assets (net debt plus market equity)
 - Ndp Net debt to price (Penman, Richardson, and Tuna, 2007): Net debt to the market equity
 - **Dur** Equity duration (Dechow, Sloan, and Soliman, 2004): Weighted average of the time to each of the respective net cash distributions divided by market equity
 - Ndf Net debt financing (Bradshaw, Richardson, and Sloan, 2006): Cash proceeds from the issuance of long-term debt (dltis) minus cash payments for long-term debt reductions (dltr), plus the net changes in current debt (dlcch)
 - **Nxf** Net external financing (Bradshaw, Richardson, and Sloan, 2006): Sale of common and preferred stocks (sstk) minus cash payments for the repurchases of preferred stocks (prstkc), minus cash payments for dividends (dv)
 - **Cei** Composite equity issuance (Daniel and Titman, 2006): Log growth rate in the market equity not attributable to stock returns
 - Aci Abnormal capital investment (Titman, Wei, and Xie, 2004): Capital expenditure (capx) for the fiscal year divided by the average of last three years of capital expenditure minus one
 - **Noa** Net operating asset (Hirshleifer, Hou, Teoh, and Zhang, 2004): Operating assets (at-che) minus operating liabilities (at-dlc-dltt-mib-pstk-ceq)
 - **Pta** Percentage total accruals (Richardson, Sloan, Soliman, and Tuna, 2005): Total accruals scaled by the absolute value of net income (ni)

- **dCoa** Change in current operating assets (Richardson, Sloan, Soliman, and Tuna, 2005): Change in current assets (act) minus change in cash and short term investment (che)
- **dNco** Change in net non-current operating assets (Richardson, Sloan, Soliman, and Tuna, 2005): Change in non-current operating assets minus change in non-current liabilities
- dNca Change in non-current operating assets (Richardson, Sloan, Soliman, and Tuna, 2005): Change in total asset (at) minus change in current assets (act), minus change in long-term investments (ivao)
- **dFnl** Change in financial liabilities (Richardson, Sloan, Soliman, and Tuna, 2005): Change in short-term investments plus change in long-term investments
- **Cop** Cash-based operating profitability (Ball, Gerakos, Linnainmaa, and Nikolaev, 2015): Total revenue (revt) minus cost of goods sold (cogs), minus selling, general, and administrative expenses (xsga), plus research and development expenditures (xrd), minus change in accounts receivable (rect), minus change in inventory (invt), minus change in prepaid expenses (xpp), plus change in deferred revenue (drc+drlt), plus change in trade accounts payable (ap), and plus change in accrued expenses (xacc), all scaled by book assets (at)
- **F**_**g7** F-score (Piotroski, 2000): The sum of nine firm's fundamental signals as either good or bad depending on the signals' implications for future stock prices and profitability
 - Ol Operating leverage (Novy-Marx, 2011): Operate costs to total assets
- **Rdm** R&D to market (Chan, Lakonishok, and Sougiannis, 2001): R&D expenses (xrd) divided by the market value at the end of December
- Adm Advertising expenses-to-market equity (Chan, Lakonishok, and Sougiannis, 2001): Advertising expenses (xad) to market value at the end of December
- **Bca** Brand capital to assets (Belo, Lin, and Vitorino, 2014): Accumulating advertising expensed with the perpetual inventory method
- **Oca_ia** Industry-adjusted Organizational capital to assets (Eisfeldt and Papanikolaou, 2013): Organizational capital to assets with 17 industry adjusted with the perpetual inventory method
- **Rnaq** Quarterly return on net operating assets (Soliman, 2008): Quarterly operating income after depreciation (oiadpq) divided by one-quarter-lagged net operating assets
- **Pmq** Quarterly profit margin (Soliman, 2008): Quarterly operating income after depreciation (oiadpq) divided by quarterly slaes (saleq)

- Atoq Quarterly asset turnover (Soliman, 2008): Quarterly sales (saleq) divided by one-quarterlagged net operating assets
- **Ctoq** Quarterly capital turnover (Haugen and Baker, 1996): Quarterly sales (saleq) divided by one-quarter-lagged total assets (atq)
- **Glaq** Quarterly gross profits to lagged assets (Novy-Marx, 2013): Quarterly total revenue (revtq) minus cost of goods sold (cogsq), divided by one-quarter-lagged total assets (atq)
- **Oleq** Quarterly operating profits to lagged equity (Fama and French, 2015): Quarterly total revenue (revtq) minus cost of goods sold (cogsq), minus selling, general, and administraive expenses (xsgaq), minus interest expenses (xintq), all scaled by one-quarter-lagged book equity
- **Olaq** Quarterly operating profits to lagged assets (Ball, Gerakos, Linnainmaa, and Nikolaev, 2015): Quarterly total revenue (revtq) minus cost of goods sold (cogsq), minus selling, general, and administraive expenses (xsgaq), plus research and development expenditures (xrdq), all scaled by one-quarter-lagged book assets (atq)
- **Claq** Quarterly cash-based operating profits to lagged assets (Ball, Gerakos, Linnainmaa, and Nikolaev, 2015): Quarterly total revenue (revtq) minus cost of goods sold (cogsq), minus selling, general, and administraive expenses (xsgaq), plus research and development expenditures (xrdq), minus change in accounts receivable (rectq), minus change in inventory (invtq), plus change in deferred revenue (drcq+drltq), plus change in trade accounts payable (apq), all scaled by one-quarter-lagged book assets (atq)
 - **Oq** Quarterly O-score (Dichev, 1998): Replace annual O-score components as quarterly components
- **Olq** Quarterly operating leverage (Novy-Marx, 2011): Quarterly operating costs (cogsq+xsgaq) divided by assets (atq) for the fiscal quarter ending at least four months ago
- **Kzq** Quarterly Kaplan-Zingales index(Lamont, Polk, and Saaa-Requejo, 2001): Replace annual KZ index components as quarterly components
- Acc Working capital accruals (Sloan, 1996): Annual income before extraordinary items (ib) minus operating cash flows (oancf) divided by average total assets (at); if oancf is missing then set to change in act-change in che-change in lct+change in dlc+change in txp-dp
- Agr Asset growth (Cooper, Gulen, and Schill, 2008): Annual percent change in total assets (at)

- **Bm_ia** Industry-adjusted book to market (Asness, Porter, and Stevens, 2000): Industry adjusted book-to-market ratio
- **Cashdebt** Cash flow to debt (Ou and Penman, 1989): Earnings before depreciation and extraordinary items (ib+dp) divided by average total liabilities (lt)
 - **Cfp** Cash flow to price ratio (Desai, Rajgopal, and Venkatachalam, 2004): Operating cash flows divided by fiscal-year-end market capitalization
 - **Cfp_ia** Industry-adjusted cash flow to price ratio (Asness, Porter, and Stevens, 2000): Industry adjusted cfp
 - **Chcsho** Change in shares outstanding (Pontiff and Woodgate, 2008): Annual percent change in shares outstanding (csho)
 - **Chinv** Change in inventory (Thomas and Zhang, 2002): Change in inventory (inv) scaled by average total assets (at)
 - **Egr** Growth in common shareholder equity (Richardson, Sloan, Soliman, and Tuna, 2005): Annual percent change in book value of equity (ceq)
 - **Ep** Earnings to price (Basu, 1977): Annual income before extraordinary items (ib) divided by end of fiscal year market cap
 - **gCapx** Growth in capital expenditures (Anderson and Garcia-Feijoo, 2006): Percent change in capital expenditures from year t 2 to year t
 - **gLtnoa** Growth in long term net operating assets (Fairfield, Whisenant, and Yohn, 2003): Growth in long term net operating assets
 - **Hire** Employee growth rate (Belo, Lin, and Vitorino, 2014): Percent change in number of employees (emp)
 - **Invest** Capital expenditures and inventory (Hou, Xue, and Zhang, 2020): Annual change in gross property, plant, and equipment (ppegt) + annual change in inventories (invt) all scaled by lagged total assets (at)
 - Lgr Growth in long-term debt (Richardson, Sloan, Soliman, and Tuna, 2005): Annual percent change in total liabilities (lt)
 - Orgcap Organizational capital (Eisfeldt and Papanikolaou, 2013): Capitalized SG&A expenses

- **Pchsale_Pchinvt** % change in sales-% change in inventory (Abarbanell and Bushee, 1998):Annual percent change in sales (sale) minus annual percent change in inventory (invt)
 - Pchsaleinv % change sales-to-inventory (Ou and Penman, 1989): Percent change in saleinv
 - **Roic** Return on invested capital (Brown and Rowe, 2007): Annual earnings before interest and taxes (ebit) minus non-operating income (nopi) divided by non-cash enterprise value (ceq+lt-che)
 - Saleinv Sales to inventory (Ou and Penman, 1989): Annual sales divided by total inventory
 - Salerec Sales to receivables (Ou and Penman, 1989): Annual sales divided by accounts receivable
 - **Sp** Sales to price (Barbee Jr., Mukherji, and Raines, 1996): Annual revenue (sale) divided by fiscal-year-end market capitalization
 - **Tb** Tax income to book income (Lev and Nissim, 2004): Tax income, calculated from current tax expense divided by maximum federal tax rate, divided by income before extraordinary items
 - **Chtxq** Quarterly change in tax expense (Thomas and Zhang, 2011): Percent change in total taxes (txtq) from quarter t 4 to t
 - **Ear** Earnings announcement return (Kishore, Brandt, Santa-Clara, and Venkatachalam, 2008): Sum of daily returns in three days around earnings announcement. Earnings announcement from Compustat quarterly file (rdq)
 - **Roaq** Return on assets (Kishore, Brandt, Santa-Clara, and Venkatachalam, 2008): Income before extraordinary items (ibq) divided by one quarter lagged total assets (atq)
 - **Roeq** Return on equity (Hou, Xue, and Zhang, 2015): Earnings before extraordinary items divided by lagged common shareholders' equity